#13	COMPLETE
	Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
	Started: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 10:50:09 AM
	Last Modified: Tuesday, July 14, 2015 12:11:49 PM
	Time Spent: 01:21:40
	IP Address: 152.179.28.118

PAGE 1: Contact Information

Name	Lino Maldonado
Company	Wyndham Vacation Rentals
Address	546 Mary Esther Blvd
City/Town	Fort Walton Beach
State/Province	Florida
ZIP/Postal Code	32548
Country	USA
Email Address	lino.maldonado@wynvr.com
Phone Number	(850) 301-3420

PAGE 2: Section I: Goals & Objectives for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

Q2: What is the single most important outcome that you would like to see as a result of the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

That it encompass all areas of loss, damage or interruption.

Q3: What is the second most important outcome you would like the Florida State Expenditure Plan to achieve?

That it be fairly distributed across the effected counties and in a manner consistent with that counties' losses.

Q4: How strongly do you agree that the Council's goals apply in Florida?

Restore and Conserve Habitat	Strongly Agree
Restore Water Quality	Strongly Agree
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources	Strongly Agree
Enhance Community Resilience	Strongly Agree
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy	Strongly Agree

Q5: Please rank in order of priority the emphasis that the Consortium should place on the Council's goal in order of importance.

Restore and Conserve Habitat.	4
Restore Water Quality.	1
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	2
Enhance Community Resilience.	5
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy.	3

Q6: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the five Council goals express all the goals that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

(no label)	Neutral	
Q7: Do you have additional goals to suggest for the Florida plan? If yes, please provide it/them here Goals 1 and 3 seem somewhat redundant. An economic diversity goal regarding jobs/industries developm with specific incentives for businesses to re-locate or grow would be good.		
Q8: Do you feel that any of the Council's goals should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific goals? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:	No goals should be excluded	
Q9: How strongly do you agree that each of the Council's objectives apply in Florida?		
1. Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats	Strongly Agree	
2. Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources	Strongly Agree	
 Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources 	Strongly Agree	

4. Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines

5. Promote Community Resilience

6. Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education

7. Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes Strongly Agree

Q10: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the seven Council objectives express all the objectives that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

(no label)

Agree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly Agree

Q11: How would you rank the Councils' objectives as they would apply to the Consortium's objectives?

Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats.	4
Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources.	1
Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	2
Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines	3
Promote Community Resilience.	6
Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education.	7
Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes.	5

Q12: Do you have additional objectives to suggest? If so, please write them here:

It would appear that a few goals although identified separately, could be considered one and the same. This approach may more heavily weigh environmental initiatives over individual county priorities.

Q13: Do you feel that any of the Council's No objectives sho	
objectives should be excluded from a list of Florida- specific objectives? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:	

PAGE 3: Section 2: Partitioning Economic and Environmental Benefits

Q14: From what kind of projects should the most long-term benefits for Florida be derived?	The economic- and environmentally-related projects are equally beneficial.	
Q15: How strongly do you agree that projects should be rated on their own merits, without differe prioritization for economic versus environmental restoration activities?		
(no label)	Strongly Agree	
Q16: How strongly do you feel that some environmental and/or economic restoration activities should be funded in the Florida State Expenditure Plan?		
Environmental Restoration	Strongly Agree	
Economic Restoration	Strongly Agree	
Q17: How strongly do you agree that all funded restoration activities should have some environmental and/or economic benefit?		
Environmental Benefit	Strongly Agree	
Economic Benefit	Strongly Agree	

Q18: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities with environmental benefits are a priority over projects with purely economic benefits or economic benefits are a priority over projects with purely environmental benefits?			
Priority should be placed equally on environmental and economic benefits	Strongly Agree		
Q19: How strongly do you agree that a pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental and/or economic benefits?			
Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental benefits	Neutral		
Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with economic benefits	Neutral		
Q20: If a pre-set percentage of funds was established for economic and environmental restoration activities, how would you partition the funding? Pick one (economic /environmental).	No pre-set partition between economic and environmental restoration activities.		

PAGE 4: Section 3: Geographic Distribution of Projects

Q21: How strongly do you agree that geographic distribution should be considered during restoration activity prioritization?			
(no label)	Strongly Agree		
Q22: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities be evaluated based purely on their economic and environmental benefits, without consideration of their location?			
(no label)	Strongly Disagree		
Q23: If a geographic consideration was applied, on which geographic basis would you pick? Choose an item.			
(no label)	By county		
Q24: How strongly do you agree that the Florida State Expenditure Plan should follow the Pot 1 distribution approach and allocate 75% of the monies to the 8 disproportionately affected counties and the remaining 25% to areas in the remaining 15 counties?			
(no label)	Strongly Agree		
Q25: What do you think would be the fairest approach if a geographic criterion was applied? Pick one.	The disproportionately affected 8 Panhandle counties should receive a greater share of the total available funding than the other 15 counties.		

Q26: How strongly do you agree that at least one restoration activity should be funded in each coastal watershed or county?

Coastal Watershed	Disagree
Coastal County	Disagree

Q27: How strongly do you agree that it is a good idea to allocate a portion of the funding to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities?

(no label)	Strongly Agree
Q28: If a portion of funding was designated to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities, what percentage of funding would you allocate to geographic distribution? The remaining funds would be based on restoration activity merit, without geographic consideration. Pick one (geographic/restoration activity merit).	75% geographic and 25% environmental/economic

PAGE 5: Section 4: Overall Approaches to Goals & Funding

Q29: If you had \$100 to spend on projects in the Florida State Expenditure Plan, what approach would you use to distribute the monies? Would you spend part on economic restoration activities and part on environmental restoration activities? Would you make sure each watershed or county received some funding or not? Tell us how you would spend your \$100.

Economic restoration	30
Environmental restoration	30
Coastal Watershed	5
Coastal County	5
Disproportionately impacted 8 counties	25
The remaining 15 counties	5

Q30: Please prioritize the criteria that should be considered when assessing a project:

The project meets the Council's goals and objectives	4
The project has environmental benefits	2
The project has economic benefits	3
The project is in a coastal watershed	6
The project is in a coastal county	5
The project is in one of the disproportionately impacted counties	1