


Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

#17



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 2:04:03 PM

Last Modified: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 2:46:28 PM

Time Spent: 00:42:24

IP Address: 130.160.178.94

PAGE 1: Contact Information

Q1: Please provide your contact information

Name	Neal Wade
Company	Economic Development Academy
Address	173B Rose Administration
City/Town	Tuscaloosa
State/Province	Alabama
ZIP/Postal Code	35487
Country	United States
Email Address	fnwade@ua.edu
Phone Number	8508144264

PAGE 2: Section I: Goals & Objectives for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

Q2: What is the single most important outcome that you would like to see as a result of the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

That the area's environment is restored as much as possible and the impacts on both economic development and tourism are also compensated

Q3: What is the second most important outcome you would like the Florida State Expenditure Plan to achieve?

That a balanced portion of the funds are used to create quality jobs in the affected counties

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

Q4: How strongly do you agree that the Council's goals apply in Florida?

Restore and Conserve Habitat	Strongly Agree
Restore Water Quality	Agree
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources	Strongly Agree
Enhance Community Resilience	Agree
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy	Strongly Agree

Q5: Please rank in order of priority the emphasis that the Consortium should place on the Council's goal in order of importance.

Restore and Conserve Habitat.	1
Restore Water Quality.	4
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	3
Enhance Community Resilience.	5
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy.	2

Q6: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the five Council goals express all the goals that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

(no label) Agree

Q7: Do you have additional goals to suggest for the Florida plan? If yes, please provide it/them here.

Job creation should be expressed in the Gulf Economy goal and probably is embedded elsewhere

Q8: Do you feel that any of the Council's goals should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific goals? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida: No goals should be excluded

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

Q9: How strongly do you agree that each of the Council's objectives apply in Florida?

- | | |
|---|-------|
| 1. Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats | Agree |
| 2. Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources | Agree |
| 3. Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources | Agree |
| 4. Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines | Agree |
| 5. Promote Community Resilience | Agree |
| 6. Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education | Agree |
| 7. Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes | Agree |

Q10: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the seven Council objectives express all the objectives that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

(no label) Strongly Disagree

Q11: How would you rank the Councils' objectives as they would apply to the Consortium's objectives?

- | | |
|---|---|
| Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats. | 7 |
| Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources. | 1 |
| Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources. | 2 |
| Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines | 5 |
| Promote Community Resilience. | 3 |
| Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education. | 4 |
| Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes. | 6 |

Q12: Do you have additional objectives to suggest? If so, please write them here:

in the State Expenditure plan it should have the full scope of economic opportunities as well as environmental

Q13: Do you feel that any of the Council's objectives should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific objectives? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:

No objectives should be excluded.

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

Q14: From what kind of projects should the most long-term benefits for Florida be derived?	The economic- and environmentally-related projects are equally beneficial.
Q15: How strongly do you agree that projects should be rated on their own merits, without different prioritization for economic versus environmental restoration activities?	
(no label)	Agree
Q16: How strongly do you feel that some environmental and/or economic restoration activities should be funded in the Florida State Expenditure Plan?	
Environmental Restoration	Strongly Agree
Economic Restoration	Strongly Agree
Q17: How strongly do you agree that all funded restoration activities should have some environmental and/or economic benefit?	
Environmental Benefit	Agree
Economic Benefit	Agree
Q18: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities with environmental benefits are a priority over projects with purely economic benefits or economic benefits are a priority over projects with purely environmental benefits?	
Environmental benefits higher priority than economic benefits	Strongly Disagree
Economic benefits higher priority than environmental benefits	Strongly Disagree
Priority should be placed equally on environmental and economic benefits	Strongly Agree
Q19: How strongly do you agree that a pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental and/or economic benefits?	
Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental benefits	Neutral
Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with economic benefits	Neutral
Q20: If a pre-set percentage of funds was established for economic and environmental restoration activities, how would you partition the funding? Pick one (economic /environmental).	No pre-set partition between economic and environmental restoration activities.

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

Q21: How strongly do you agree that geographic distribution should be considered during restoration activity prioritization?

(no label)

Strongly Agree

Q22: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities be evaluated based purely on their economic and environmental benefits, without consideration of their location?

(no label)

Disagree

Q23: If a geographic consideration was applied, on which geographic basis would you pick? Choose an item.

(no label)

By region

Q24: How strongly do you agree that the Florida State Expenditure Plan should follow the Pot 1 distribution approach and allocate 75% of the monies to the 8 disproportionately affected counties and the remaining 25% to areas in the remaining 15 counties?

(no label)

Strongly Agree

Q25: What do you think would be the fairest approach if a geographic criterion was applied? Pick one.

The disproportionately affected 8 Panhandle counties should receive a greater share of the total available funding than the other 15 counties.

Q26: How strongly do you agree that at least one restoration activity should be funded in each coastal watershed or county?

Coastal Watershed

Strongly Disagree

Coastal County

Strongly Disagree

Q27: How strongly do you agree that it is a good idea to allocate a portion of the funding to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities?

(no label)

Strongly Disagree

Q28: If a portion of funding was designated to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities, what percentage of funding would you allocate to geographic distribution? The remaining funds would be based on restoration activity merit, without geographic consideration. Pick one (geographic/restoration activity merit).

No pre-set partition between economic and environmental restoration activities.

Florida Gulf Consortium Questionnaire

Q29: If you had \$100 to spend on projects in the Florida State Expenditure Plan, what approach would you use to distribute the monies? Would you spend part on economic restoration activities and part on environmental restoration activities? Would you make sure each watershed or county received some funding or not? Tell us how you would spend your \$100.

Economic restoration	50
Environmental restoration	50

Q30: Please prioritize the criteria that should be considered when assessing a project:

The project meets the Council's goals and objectives	1
The project has environmental benefits	4
The project has economic benefits	3
The project is in a coastal watershed	6
The project is in a coastal county	5
The project is in one of the disproportionately impacted counties	2