

#### **COMPLETE**

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, August 12, 2015 2:35:51 PM **Last Modified:** Thursday, August 13, 2015 3:45:04 PM

Time Spent: Over a day IP Address: 174.79.178.92

#### **PAGE 1: Contact Information**

Q1: Please provide your contact information

Name Collier Merrill

Company Merrill Land Company

Address 226 S Palafox St

Address 2 11th floor
City/Town Pensacola
State/Province Florida
ZIP/Postal Code 32503
Country US

Email Address collier@merrillland.com

Phone Number 850-438-0955

#### PAGE 2: Section I: Goals & Objectives for the Florida State Expenditure Plan

# Q2: What is the single most important outcome that you would like to see as a result of the Florida State Expenditure Plan?

Diversification

# Q3: What is the second most important outcome you would like the Florida State Expenditure Plan to achieve?

Long term goals

## Q4: How strongly do you agree that the Council's goals apply in Florida?

Restore and Conserve Habitat Agree

Restore Water Quality Strongly Agree

Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine

Resources

Agree

Enhance Community Resilience Strongly Agree

Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy Strongly Agree

Q5: Please rank in order of priority the emphasis that	at the Consortium should place on the Council's	
goal in order of importance.	_	
Restore and Conserve Habitat.	5	
Restore Water Quality.	3	
Replenish and Protect Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	4	
Enhance Community Resilience.	2	
Restore and Revitalize the Gulf Economy.	1	
Q6: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the five Council goals express all the goals that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?		
(no label)	Neutral	
Q7: Do you have additional goals to suggest for the Florida plan? If yes, please provide it/them here.	Respondent skipped this question	
Q8: Do you feel that any of the Council's goals should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific goals? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:	No goals should be excluded	
Q9: How strongly do you agree that each of the Council's objectives apply in Florida?		
1. Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats	Agree	
2. Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources	Strongly Agree	
3. Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources	Agree	
4. Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines	Agree	
5. Promote Community Resilience	Strongly Agree	
6. Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education	Agree	
7. Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes	Neutral	
Q10: How strongly do you agree that when applied in Florida, the seven Council objectives express all the objectives that should apply to the Florida State Expenditure Plan?		
(no label)	Agree	

Q11: How would you rank the Councils' objectives as they would apply to the Consortium's objectives?		
Restore, Enhance, and Protect Habitats.	3	
Restore, Improve, and Protect Water Resources.	1	
Protect and Restore Living Coastal and Marine Resources.	6	
Restore and Enhance Natural Processes and Shorelines	4	
Promote Community Resilience.	2	
Promote Natural Resource Stewardship and Environmental Education.	5	
Improve Science-Based Decision-Making Processes.	7	
Q12: Do you have additional objectives to suggest? If so, please write them here:  More focus on economic development		
Q13: Do you feel that any of the Council's objectives should be excluded from a list of Florida-specific objectives? Check any that apply, that you would exclude for Florida:	No objectives should be excluded.	

PAGE 3: Section 2: Partitioning Economic and Environmental Benefits

Q14: From what kind of projects should long-term benefits for Florida be derived	
Q15: How strongly do you agree that pr prioritization for economic versus envir	ojects should be rated on their own merits, without different conmental restoration activities?
(no label)	Disagree
Q16: How strongly do you feel that som should be funded in the Florida State Ex	e environmental and/or economic restoration activities xpenditure Plan?
Environmental Restoration	Strongly Agree
Economic Restoration	Strongly Agree

Q17: How strongly do you agree that all funded restoration activities should have some environmental and/or economic benefit? **Environmental Benefit** Agree **Economic Benefit** Strongly Agree Q18: How strongly do you agree that restoration activities with environmental benefits are a priority over projects with purely economic benefits or economic benefits are a priority over projects with purely environmental benefits? Environmental benefits higher priority than economic Disagree benefits Economic benefits higher priority than Strongly Agree environmental benefits Priority should be placed equally on environmental Disagree and economic benefits Q19: How strongly do you agree that a pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to restoration activities with environmental and/or economic benefits? Pre-set percentage of funds should be allocated to Strongly Agree restoration activities with economic benefits 75% economic and 25% environmental Q20: If a pre-set percentage of funds was

PAGE 4: Section 3: Geographic Distribution of Projects

established for economic and environmental restoration activities, how would you partition the funding? Pick one (economic /environmental).

estoration activity prioritizat	
no label)	Strongly Agree
	ree that restoration activities be evaluated based purely on their benefits, without consideration of their location?
no label)	Strongly Disagree
23: If a geographic consider	ration was applied, on which geographic basis would you pick?
no label)	By county

Q24: How strongly do you agree that the Florida State Expenditure Plan should follow the Pot 1

distribution approach and allocate 75% of the monies to the 8 disproportionately affected counties and the remaining 25% to areas in the remaining 15 counties? (no label) Strongly Agree The disproportionately affected 8 Panhandle Q25: What do you think would be the fairest counties should receive a greater share of the approach if a geographic criterion was applied? total available funding than the other 15 counties. Pick one. Q26: How strongly do you agree that at least one restoration activity should be funded in each coastal watershed or county? Coastal Watershed Neutral Neutral Coastal County Q27: How strongly do you agree that it is a good idea to allocate a portion of the funding to achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities? (no label) Neutral 75% geographic and 25% Q28: If a portion of funding was designated to environmental/economic achieve a geographic distribution of restoration activities, what percentage of funding would you allocate to geographic distribution? The remaining funds would be based on restoration activity merit, without geographic consideration. Pick one (geographic/restoration activity merit).

PAGE 5: Section 4: Overall Approaches to Goals & Funding

Q29: If you had \$100 to spend on projects in the Florida State Expenditure Plan, what approach would you use to distribute the monies? Would you spend part on economic restoration activities and part on environmental restoration activities? Would you make sure each watershed or county received some funding or not? Tell us how you would spend your \$100. 5 Economic restoration 5 Environmental restoration 5 Coastal Watershed **Coastal County** 5 Disproportionately impacted 8 counties 80 n The remaining 15 counties

Q30: Please prioritize the criteria that should be considered when assessing a project:		
The project meets the Council's goals and objectives	3	
The project has environmental benefits	4	
The project has economic benefits	2	
The project is in a coastal watershed	5	
The project is in a coastal county	6	
The project is in one of the disproportionately impacted counties	1	